

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,312	DESNOYER ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Brenda A. Lamb	1734	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Brenda A. Lamb.

(3) _____

(2) Attorney Kerrigan.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 August 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Lamb called Attorney Kerrigan in regard to the IDS filed 4/26/2004 to clarify whether pages 2-3 of the IDS belong to the instant application since they indicate a serial number which is different from the instant application. Attorney Kerrigan indicated that pages 2-3 belong to the instant application and requested that the examiner line through the serial number and applicant name on pages 2-3 of the above cited IDS such that they correspond to the instant application.